CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1959

Chapter 514, Laws of 2009

61st Legislature 2009 Regular Session

MARINE CONTAINER PORTS--USES AND PLANNING

EFFECTIVE DATE: 07/26/09

Passed by the House April 24, 2009 Yeas 94 Nays 0

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate April 22, 2009 Yeas 48 Nays 0

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Approved May 15, 2009, 2:34 p.m.

CERTIFICATE

I, Barbara Baker, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL ${\bf 1959}$ as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

BARBARA BAKER

Chief Clerk

FILED

May 18, 2009

Secretary of State State of Washington

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1959

AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 2009 Regular Session

State of Washington 61st Legislature 2009 Regular Session

By House Local Government & Housing (originally sponsored by Representatives Simpson, Rodne, Williams, and Armstrong; by request of Governor Gregoire)

READ FIRST TIME 02/20/09.

AN ACT Relating to land use and transportation planning for marine container ports; reenacting and amending RCW 47.06.140; adding a new section to chapter 36.70A RCW; and creating new sections.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds that Washington's 6 marine container ports operate within a complex system of marine 7 terminal operations, truck and train transportation corridors, and 8 industrial services that together support a critical amount of our 9 state and national economy, including key parts of our state's 10 manufacturing and agricultural sectors, and directly create thousands 11 of high-wage jobs throughout our region.

12 (2) The legislature further finds that the container port services 13 are increasingly challenged by the conversion of industrial properties 14 to nonindustrial uses, leading to competing and incompatible uses that 15 can hinder port operations, restrict efficient movement of freight, and 16 limit the opportunity for improvements to existing port-related 17 facilities.

18 (3) It is the intent of the legislature to ensure that local land19 use decisions are made in consideration of the long-term and widespread

economic contribution of our international container ports and related industrial lands and transportation systems, and to ensure that container ports continue to function effectively alongside vibrant city waterfronts.

5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 36.70A RCW 6 to read as follows:

(1) Comprehensive plans of cities that have a marine container port
with annual operating revenues in excess of sixty million dollars
within their jurisdiction must include a container port element.

10 (2) Comprehensive plans of cities that include all or part of a 11 port district with annual operating revenues in excess of twenty 12 million dollars may include a marine industrial port element. Prior to 13 adopting a marine industrial port element under this subsection (2), 14 the commission of the applicable port district must adopt a resolution 15 in support of the proposed element.

16 (3) Port elements adopted under subsections (1) and (2) of this 17 section must be developed collaboratively between the city and the 18 applicable port, and must establish policies and programs that:

(a) Define and protect the core areas of port and port-relatedindustrial uses within the city;

(b) Provide reasonably efficient access to the core area throughfreight corridors within the city limits; and

(c) Identify and resolve key land use conflicts along the edge of
 the core area, and minimize and mitigate, to the extent practicable,
 incompatible uses along the edge of the core area.

26 (4) Port elements adopted under subsections (1) and (2) of this 27 section must be:

(a) Completed and approved by the city according to the schedulespecified in RCW 36.70A.130; and

30 (b) Consistent with the economic development, transportation, and 31 land use elements of the city's comprehensive plan, and consistent with 32 the city's capital facilities plan.

(5) In adopting port elements under subsections (1) and (2) of this section, cities and ports must: Ensure that there is consistency between the port elements and the port comprehensive scheme required under chapters 53.20 and 53.25 RCW; and retain sufficient planning flexibility to secure emerging economic opportunities.

p. 2

1 (6) In developing port elements under subsections (1) and (2) of 2 this section, a city may utilize one or more of the following 3 approaches:

4 (a) Creation of a port overlay district that protects container 5 port uses;

6 (b) Use of industrial land banks;

8

7 (c) Use of buffers and transition zones between incompatible uses;

(d) Use of joint transportation funding agreements;

9 (e) Use of policies to encourage the retention of valuable 10 warehouse and storage facilities;

(f) Use of limitations on the location or size, or both, of nonindustrial uses in the core area and surrounding areas; and

13 (g) Use of other approaches by agreement between the city and the 14 port.

15 (7) The department of community, trade, and economic development 16 must provide matching grant funds to cities meeting the requirements of 17 subsection (1) of this section to support development of the required 18 container port element.

19 (8) Any planned improvements identified in port elements adopted 20 under subsections (1) and (2) of this section must be transmitted by 21 the city to the transportation commission for consideration of 22 inclusion in the statewide transportation plan required under RCW 23 47.01.071.

24 **Sec. 3.** RCW 47.06.140 and 2007 c 516 s 11 and 2007 c 512 s 2 are 25 each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

26 (1)The legislature declares the following transportation 27 facilities and services to be of statewide significance: Highways of statewide significance as designated by the legislature under chapter 28 47.05 RCW, the interstate highway system, interregional state principal 29 30 arterials including ferry connections that serve statewide travel, 31 intercity passenger rail services, intercity high-speed ground transportation, major passenger intermodal terminals excluding all 32 airport facilities and services, the freight railroad system, the 33 Columbia/Snake navigable river system, marine port facilities and 34 services that are related solely to marine activities affecting 35 36 international and interstate trade, <u>key_freight_transportation</u> corridors serving these marine port facilities, and high capacity 37

p. 3

transportation systems serving regions as defined in RCW 81.104.015. 1 2 The department, in cooperation with regional transportation planning organizations, counties, cities, transit agencies, public ports, 3 private railroad operators, and private transportation providers, as 4 5 appropriate, shall plan for improvements to transportation facilities and services of statewide significance in the statewide multimodal 6 7 transportation plan. Improvements to facilities and services of significance identified in the 8 statewide statewide multimodal transportation plan, or to highways of statewide significance 9 designated by the legislature under chapter 47.05 RCW, are essential 10 state public facilities under RCW 36.70A.200. 11

(2) The department of transportation, in consultation with local 12 13 governments, shall set level of service standards for state highways and state ferry routes of statewide significance. Although the 14 department shall consult with local governments when setting level of 15 service standards, the department retains authority to make final 16 17 decisions regarding level of service standards for state highways and state ferry routes of statewide significance. In establishing level of 18 service standards for state highways and state ferry routes of 19 statewide significance, the department shall consider the necessary 20 21 balance between providing for the free interjurisdictional movement of 22 people and goods and the needs of local communities using these facilities. When setting the level of service standards under this 23 24 section for state ferry routes, the department may allow for a standard 25 that is adjustable for seasonality.

26 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 4. If specific funding for the purposes of this 27 act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not provided by 28 June 30, 2009, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act is null and 29 void.

> Passed by the House April 24, 2009. Passed by the Senate April 22, 2009. Approved by the Governor May 15, 2009. Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 18, 2009.